O.S. 1700 7

(1)

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

writ petition no. /2004

PETITIONER:- :

1) Umesh Chandra Mihsra , Aged about 40 years, Head constable District Crime Record Beaurio Behind Superintendent of Police Station Distt. Dantewada (C.G.)
2) Dinesh Singh , S/o Sakhiram Chandra Singh Aged 45 years Head Constable No. 360 P.S. Dantewada Distt.- Dantewada.
3) Lalman Verma, S/o Samaylal Verma Aged 42 years, Constabl no. 273 P.S.- Dantewada Distt- Dantewada
4) Nagendra Tiwari, S/o Ram dev Tiwari Aged 35 years, Constable no. 558 Police line Dantewada, Distt-Dantewada
5) BrijBhan Patel S/o Yagh Sharan Patel Aged 31years Constable no. 653 P.S. Dantewada Distt- Dantewada
6) Rajmani Verma S/o Shyamlal Verma Aged 26 years Constable no. 04 P.S. Muraimunda Distt- Dantewada
7) Sakhan Cole S/o Bhupendra Prasad Cole, Aged about 32 years Constable no. 412 P.S. Katekalyan Distt- Dantewad.

P. R. No. 1777/04
Presented by Shri V. Lakshmanrao
dated 25-6-04

Received Copy
Shri Yadav
28/6/04
Clerk to Shri
VINAY MARIT
Senior Central Government Standing Counsel
CHHATTISGARH HIGH COURT

RECEIVED COPY
28/6/04
Clerk to A. G. Bilaspur

शपथ आयुक्त
2516/04

(2) (8)

8) Tularam Jatav aged about 38 years Head Constable no. 745 P.S. Errabor Distt- Dantewada

9) Vinod Mihsra S/o Obho Mishra aged 34 years Head Constable no. 227 P.S. P.S. Dandewada Distt- Dantewada

10) Tanay Tiwari aged about 37 Constable no. 889 P.S. Dantewada Distt- Dantewada.

11) Arun Dewadi S/o Motilal Dewadi aged about 32 years Constable no.619 P.S. Geedam Distt- Dantewada

12) Bhagwan Das aged about 54 years Constable no. 239 P.S. Dantewada Distt- Dantewada.

13) Rajesh Pandey S/o Shri Durga Prashad Pandey, aged 32 years Head Constable no. 95 P.S. Barsoor Distt- Dantewada

14) Ved vyas Singh S/o Shri Chandra Bhan Singh aged 34 years Constable no. 584 P.S. Geedam Distt-Dantewada

15) Brajnarayan Tiwari ;S/o Lalta Prasad Tiwari aged 35 years Constable no. 23 , P.S. Geedam Distt- Dantewada

16) Davendra Yadav S/o Phoolan Singh Yadav aged 31 years Constable no.509 P.S. Geedam Distt- Dantewada

(3) (9)

17) Dinesh Deshmukh S/o Shri Nehru lal Deshmukh aged 34 years. Constable no. 521 P.S. Geedam Distt- Dantewada.

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:-

1) Union of India , Through Secretary Public Grievances & Personal Affair Government of India.1

2) State of Chhattisgarh Through Secretary Home(Police) Department Raipur (C.G.)

3) State of Madhya Pradesh Through Secretary Home (police) Department Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)

4) Superintendent of Police Dantewada
Distt. Dantewada (C.G.)

5) Director General of Police , Police Head Quarter, Raipur (C.G.)

25/6/19

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 1792/2004 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

09.07.2004

On a mention being made, the matter is taken up.

Shri Y.C.Sharma, counsel for the petitioners.

Shri S.K. Beriwal, Senior Panel Lawyer for Union of India/respondent no.1 on advance copy.

Shri V.V.S. Murthy, Deputy Advocate General with Akhil Agrawal, Panel Lawyer for the State.

I.A. No. 4247/2004 for urgent hearing is allowed.

Heard.

Counsel for the petitioners submits that the matter relates to allocation of petitioners. He further submits that in similar matter i.e., W.P.No.578/2004 (Balendra Shekhar Mishra and others –vs- Union of India and others) orders have been passed by this Court on 17.12.2003. He also produced a copy of the order dated 18.6.2004 passed in W.P. 1560/2004 (Jitendra Singh Tomar and others –vs- Union of India and others) Learned counsel prays that similar order may be passed in this case also.

Learned counsel for the respondents submitted that relying on the statement of counsel for the petitioner made at the Bar, similar orders may be passed.

Having considered the facts and circumstances of the case, material on record and considering the statement made by counsel for the petitioner at the Bar and in view of the judgment/orders cited above and further the legal position, the action of the State Authorities in not relieving the petitioners cannot be sustained and

[पीछे देखिये

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

(77)

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित -2-	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
Ravi	the petitioners are entitled for relieving. The respondents are directed to relieve the petitioners within 15 days from the date of production of a copy of this order. Accordingly, this petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P.1603/2004 also stands disposed of. C.C. as per rules. **Sd/-** **Fakhruddin** **Judge**	